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Figure S1: Effect of copy number and GC-content on signal intensities and fold changes. (a) Boxplot of probe signal intensities on a tiling array for different copy numbers, i.e., number of perfect matches of a probe sequence per megabase of genomic sequence. A comprehensive set of copy numbers for different tiling array designs is provided by MAT on their web page and taken here as reference. The relative frequency of each copy number is shown in the overlay graph (red solid line). (b) Boxplot of log-fold changes on a tiling array between two cellular states for different GC content in the probe sequence. The relative frequency of the GC content on the tiling array is shown in the overlay graph (red solid line).


Figure S2: Boxplot of probe median z-scores according to one and two distinct GC content bins. The probe median z-score is defined as the median over the z-scores of all windows enclosing the probe while z-scores are estimated by TileShuffle on a tiling array without GC content binning (a) and with two different GC content bins (b). Vertical dotted red lines display the boundaries of different bins while solid red lines indicate the relative frequency of the GC content in its corresponding bin.


Figure S3: Position-specific nucleotide bias using TileShuffle with one GC content bin. The effect was calculated on probe median z-scores for every nucleotide in each of the 25 positions within the probe by use of the Starr R package. The probe median z-scores are further normalized by dividing them by the standard deviation of the intensity and median z-score distribution, respectively. The probe median z -score is calculated as the median over the z scores of all windows enclosing the probe where z-scores were estimated by TileShuffle using one GC content bin.


Figure S4: Detailed outline of TileShuffle (detection of expressed segments). (a) Signal intensities of probes in a short region. (b) Classification of probes into affinity bins according to the GC content of their sequences (high GC in blue, medium GC in red, low GC in green). (c) Original intensities and intensities after affinity-stable permutations where intensities of probes belonging to different affinity bins must not be interchanged. (d) Assignment of significances in terms of corrected empirical p-values to each window of given width. The empirical p-values are estimated by comparing the score of the original window to the scores of the permuted ones. In the end, windows with a corrected empirical p -value ( q -value) below a given threshold are merged and then reported.


Figure S5: Detailed outline of TileShuffle (detection of differentially expressed segments - variant A). (a) Window of probes with log-fold changes between two different cellular states. (b) Density of the distribution of positive and negative log-fold changes on a tiling array. (c) Original log-fold changes and permuted log-fold changes with entire background. (d) Assignment of the significance in terms of a corrected empirical two-tailed p-value to the window by comparing the original window score to the permuted ones. Note that the multiple testing correction is applied to all window p-values on the tiling array. Furthermore, it is adjusted to account for the additional comparisons in case of the two-tailed p-value estimations.


Figure S6: Detailed outline of TileShuffle (detection of differentially expressed segments - variant B). (a) Positive window of probes with log-fold changes between two different cellular states. (b) Density of the distribution of positive log-fold changes on a tiling array. (c) Log-fold changes of non-masked probes, i.e., probes with positive log-fold changes in case of a positive window, and permuted log-fold changes of non-masked probes with positive background. (d) Assignment of the significance in terms of a corrected empirical one-tailed p-value to the window by comparing the original window score to the permuted ones. Note that the multiple testing correction is applied to all window p-values on the tiling array.


Figure S7: Comparison of TileShuffle with TAS and MAT: Detection of highdiff segments in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle dataset (a) and in the spike-in tiling array dataset between the concentrations of $0.0055 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $0.055 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ (b). Sensitivities as function of FDR after evaluating the outcome TAS, MAT, and TileShuffle with both variants with a range of different $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$-value cutoffs in the differential analysis. In the cell cycle dataset, the positive set is obtained by conducting and evaluating verification experiments using a custom-designed microarray with triplicates while in the spike-in dataset it is comprised of regions covered by the 162 full-length cDNA clones which were spiked in. Note that the whiskers express the variation in the outcome of TileShuffle after five repetitions, i.e., smallest and highest value on the x -axis (or y-axis) for each differential significance threshold, with the median result shown on the solid line. The inlay magnifies the area in the right panel where the x-coordinate is close to zero (same units on axes).


Figure S8: Count-based comparison of TileShuffle with TAS and MAT: Detection of highdiff segments in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. ROC curve (a) and sensitivity as function of FDR (b) after evaluating the outcome TAS, MAT, and TileShuffle with both variants with a range of different $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$-value cutoffs in the differential analysis. Here, the evaluations are based on counts rather than on nucleotides. Then, TP corresponds to the number of tiling array regions that are highdiff and contain at least one probe on the custom microarray that was found significantly differentially expressed. The number of false positives (FP) is defined as the number of highdiff regions that do not contain a probe that is significantly differentially expressed in the custom microarray experiment. P is defined as the number of probes that are significantly differentially expressed in the custom microarray experiment ( $F D R<0.05$ ), and N as the number of probes that are not significantly differentially expressed, respectively. Note that the whiskers express the variation in the outcome of TileShuffle after five repetitions, i.e., smallest and highest value on the $x$-axis (or y-axis) for each differential significance threshold, with the median result shown on the solid line.


Figure S9: Comparison of TileShuffle with TAS and MAT: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highly expressed regions in the G0 phase of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10th data point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S10: Comparison of TileShuffle with TAS and MAT: Distribution of distances between annotated exons and highly expressed regions in the G0 phase of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Frequency polygons with bin size of 50 nt on the distribution of distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b) of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. A frequency polygon simply is a density estimator based on a histogram where the mid points of the histogram bars are connected by straight lines. The breaks in the histogram are illustrated as gray vertical lines. Only every second point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S11: Comparison of TileShuffle with TAS and MAT: Distribution of distances between annotated exons and highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Frequency polygons with a bin size of 50 nt on the distribution of distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b) of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. A frequency polygon simply is a density estimator based on a histogram where the mid points of the histogram bars are connected by straight lines. The breaks in the histogram are illustrated as gray vertical lines. Only every second point is drawn as a symbol. The significance thresholds in the differential analyses of the methods were adjusted to obtain similar FDRs as estimated before using the custom microarray, i.e., $18 \%$ FDR in case of $\mathrm{TAS}_{1}(q=0.05), 17 \%$ in case of MAT $(\mathrm{p}=1 \mathrm{e}-6)$, and $19 \%$ and $18 \%$ in case of TileShuffle with variant A ( $q=0.05$ ) and variant B ( $\mathrm{q}=0.1$ ), respectively. The absolute number of overlaps is 15835 and 13479 with TileShuffle and variant A and B, respectively, 4337 with TAS, and 2381 with MAT.


Figure S12: Comparison of TileShuffle (variant A) with different qvalues: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10 th data point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S13: Comparison of TileShuffle (variant B) with different qvalues: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10 th data point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S14: Comparison of TAS with different q-values: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10th data point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S15: Comparison of MAT with different q-values: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10th data point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S16: Comparison of TileShuffle (variant B) with different number of GC bins and window sizes: Detection of highdiff segments in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset with a range of different $q$-value cutoffs in the differential analysis. ROC curve (a) and sensitivity as function of FDR (b) after evaluating the outcome of TileShuffle (variant B) with different number of GC bins and window sizes with a range of different $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$-value cutoffs in the differential analysis. The positive set is obtained by conducting and evaluating verification experiments using a custom-designed microarray with triplicates. Note that TileShuffle with $\mathrm{GC}=3$ and win $=20$ could not be evaluated since it was not represented on the custom microarray.


Figure S17: Comparison of TileShuffle with different number of GC bins and window sizes: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highly expressed regions in the G0 phase of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10th data point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S18: Comparison of TileShuffle with different number of GC bins and window sizes: Distribution of distances between annotated exons and highly expressed regions in the G0 phase of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Frequency polygons with bin size of 50 nt on the distribution of distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b) of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. A frequency polygon simply is a density estimator based on a histogram where the mid points of the histogram bars are connected by straight lines. The breaks in the histogram are illustrated as gray vertical lines. Only every second point is drawn as a symbol.


Figure S19: Comparison of TileShuffle (variant B) with different number of GC bins and window sizes: Detection of transcript structures on the basis of highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Empirical cumulative distribution function of the absolute distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. Only every 10th data point is drawn as a symbol. The significance thresholds in the differential analyses of the methods were adjusted to obtain similar FDRs as estimated before using the custom microarray.


Figure S20: Comparison of TileShuffle (variant B) with different number of GC bins and window sizes: Distribution of distances between annotated exons and highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. Frequency polygons with a bin size of 50 nt on the distribution of distances between $5^{\prime}$ - (a) and $3^{\prime}$-end (b), respectively, of exon and reported interval for all overlapping pairs of unique GENCODE annotated exons and reported intervals. Overlapping here means any overlap in genomic coordinates ignoring strand. A frequency polygon simply is a density estimator based on a histogram where the mid points of the histogram bars are connected by straight lines. The breaks in the histogram are illustrated as gray vertical lines. Only every second point is drawn as a symbol. The significance thresholds in the differential analyses of the methods were adjusted to obtain similar FDRs as estimated before using3the custom microarray.


Figure S21: Examples of identified transcript structures of two protein-coding genes known to change expression from cell cycle state G0 to state G1 for TileShuffle variant B, TAS, and MAT in the cell cycle tiling array dataset. A: Detection of exons of the gene GOS2 (G0/G1switch 2), B: detection of exons of the gene CDC6 (cell division cycle 6 homolog). G0S2 and CDC6 are known to be upregulated in G1 phase [1, 2]. PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen) is known to be expressed in G1 phase [3].The transcript structures of both genes are defined according to the GENCODE version 3c. Parameters for the one-state analyses (identification of highly expressed segments) are chosen as follows: TileShuffle with $q<0.05$, MAT with $p<0.05$, and TAS with MM corrected PM probe intensities above the threshold of 150 . Parameters for the two-state analyses (identification of highdiff regions) are chosen as follows: TileShuffle with $q<0.1$ (variant B), MAT with a $p<10^{-6}$, and TAS with $q<0.05$ in order to yield comparable FDR values.


Figure S22: Examples of identified transcript structures of a positive regions for TileShuffle variant B, TAS, and MAT in the spike-in tiling array dataset between the concentrations $0.0055 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and $0.055 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. Detection of positive regions in the spike-in tiling array dataset between the concentrations $0.0055 \mu g$ and $0.055 \mu g$. Parameters for the one-state analyses (identification of highly expressed segments) are chosen as follows: TileShuffle with $q<0.05$, MAT with $p<0.05$, and TAS with MM corrected PM probe intensities above the threshold of 150 . Parameters for the two-state analyses (identification of highdiff regions) are chosen as follows: TileShuffle with $q<0.1$ (variant B), MAT with a $p<10^{-4}$, and TAS with $q<0.8$ in order to yield comparable FDR values.

| Type of experiment | MAT | TAS | TileShuffle | Custom Array |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cell cycle | GSE36187 | GSE36189 | GSE36190 | GSE29792 |

Table S1: GEO accession IDs for human tiling array datasets used in this study and the custom microarray used for validation. For each algorithm, a Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/) superseries has been created including the datasets of highly expressed regions as well as highdiff regions. The last column gives the GEO accession IDs for the custom microarray data that has been used to validate the outcome of all three algorithms.

|  | regions | nucleotides | mean length |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TileShuffle (GC=3, win=200) | 65680 | 23085575 | 351.5 |
| TileShuffle $(G C=1$, win=200) | 220014 | 88797882 | 403.6 |
| TileShuffle $(\mathrm{GC}=5$, win=200) | 67000 | 23708839 | 353.9 |
| TileShuffle $(\mathrm{GC}=7$, win=200) | 56775 | 20487147 | 360.8 |
| TileShuffle $(\mathrm{GC}=9$, win=200) | 56804 | 20527250 | 361.4 |
| TileShuffle $(\mathrm{GC}=3$, win=20) | 4310 | 110074 | 25.5 |
| TileShuffle $(\mathrm{GC}=3$, win=400) | 77867 | 58138624 | 746.6 |
| TAS | 95840 | 13924718 | 145.3 |
| MAT | 280548 | 27708046 | 98.8 |

Table S2: Comparison of TileShuffle using different number of GC bins and window sizes with TAS and MAT: Quantity, nucleotides, and average length of highly expressed in the G0 phase of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. The default settings for the number of GC bins and window size are indicated in bold.

|  | regions | nucleotides | mean length |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TileShuffle (Variant A, GC=3, win=200) | 18299 | 6185940 | 338 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=200) | 16043 | 5182484 | 323 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=1, win=200) | 15898 | 4849800 | 305.1 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=5, win=200) | 17255 | 5546853 | 321.5 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=7, win=200) | 18946 | 6128117 | 323.5 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=9, win=200) | 19090 | 6172643 | 323.3 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=20) | 703 | 17673 | 25.1 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=400) | 15866 | 10612052 | 668.9 |
| TAS | 5470 | 746184 | 136.4 |
| MAT | 3020 | 284518 | 94.2 |

Table S3: Comparison of TileShuffle using different number of GC bins and window sizes with TAS and MAT: Quantity, nucleotides, and average length of highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. The default settings for the number of GC bins and window size are indicated in bold. The significance thresholds in the differential analyses of the methods were adjusted to obtain similar FDRs as estimated before using the custom microarray.

|  | overall | exons | introns | 5'-UTR | 3'-UTR | CDS | intergenic | non-exonic (novel) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TileShuffle (GC=3, win=200) | 23085575 | 9630623 | 9976153 | 1401154 | 4002576 | 4958849 | 5134922 | 13454952 |
| TileShuffle (GC=1, win=200) | 88797882 | 21181872 | 42560546 | 4089095 | 5921145 | 13065024 | 29252823 | 67616010 |
| TileShuffle (GC=5, win=200) | 23708839 | 9828747 | 10355747 | 1401146 | 4203847 | 4952081 | 5204545 | 13880092 |
| TileShuffle (GC=7, win=200) | 20487147 | 8842836 | 9029187 | 823543 | 4258464 | 4411174 | 4054238 | 11644311 |
| TileShuffle (GC=9, win=200) | 20527250 | 8861036 | 9057023 | 823841 | 4287601 | 4403656 | 4055591 | 11666214 |
| TileShuffle (GC=3, win=20) | 110074 | 30230 | 38262 | 7437 | 7461 | 17750 | 49424 | 79844 |
| TileShuffle (GC=3, win=400) | 58138624 | 18669097 | 29657851 | 2920280 | 8051262 | 9009867 | 13212891 | 39469527 |
| TAS | 13924718 | 5882732 | 5586961 | 581220 | 2129572 | 3714287 | 3424662 | 8019604 |
| MAT | 27707039 | 3657494 | 13540120 | 385967 | 1580965 | 2000879 | 11104453 | 24047546 |

Table S4: Comparison of TileShuffle using different number of GC bins and window sizes with TAS and MAT: Base pair overlap between highly expressed regions in the G0 phase of the cell cycle tiling array dataset and GENCODE version 3c annotations.

|  | overall | exons | introns | 5 '-UTR | 3'-UTR | CDS | intergenic | non-exonic (novel) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TileShuffle (Variant A, GC=3, win=200) | 6185648 | 3128775 | 2613639 | 161654 | 1716580 | 1421648 | 881018 | 3056873 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=200) | 5180272 | 2514956 | 2254373 | 137856 | 1377062 | 1138181 | 763634 | 2665316 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=1, win=200) | 4845447 | 2139056 | 2077488 | 163346 | 981066 | 1129788 | 962093 | 2706391 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=5, win=200) | 5544073 | 2619939 | 2475285 | 142267 | 1455302 | 1165872 | 816444 | 2924134 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=7, win=200) | 6124282 | 2858239 | 2764521 | 137025 | 1601331 | 1278510 | 901613 | 3266043 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=9, win=200) | 6168836 | 2875126 | 2795081 | 140457 | 1609812 | 1286798 | 901863 | 3293710 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=20) | 17673 | 1942 | 7316 | 31 | 1394 | 573 | 8965 | 15731 |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=400) | 10600047 | 3894467 | 5633565 | 197834 | 2329656 | 1559489 | 1656765 | 6705580 |
| TAS | 631950 | 347219 | 247969 | 10497 | 215849 | 135465 | 87731 | 284335 |
| MAT | 284518 | 147436 | 125786 | 3377 | 107509 | 41108 | 32119 | 137082 |

Table S5: Comparison of TileShuffle using different number of GC bins and window sizes with TAS and MAT: Base pair overlap between highdiff regions in the G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset and Gencode version 3c annotations. The significance thresholds in the differential analyses of the methods were adjusted to obtain similar FDRs as estimated before using the custom microarray.

|  | Number of tiling array regions |
| :--- | ---: |
| TileShuffle (Variant A, GC=3, win=200) | $20599(56 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=200) | $20103(63 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=1, win=200) | $14898(47 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=20) | $0(0 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=3, win=400) | $18080(57 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=5, win=200) | $20249(59 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=7, win=200) | $20894(55 \%)$ |
| TileShuffle (Variant B, GC=9, win=200) | $20845(55 \%)$ |
| TAS | $5144(56 \%)$ |
| MAT | $2276(38 \%)$ |

Table S6: Representation of highdiff tiling array regions on custom microarray: Number and fraction of highdiff intervals that are represented by at least one probe on the custom microarray. Numbers base upon all tiling array regions identified by either TileShuffle, TAS, or MAT to be significantly differentially expressed between G0/G1 transition of the cell cycle tiling array dataset. A tiling array region is represented on the custom microarray if the custom microarray contains at least one probe overlapping completely with the tiling array region. The significance thresholds in the differential analyses of the methods were adjusted to obtain similar FDRs as estimated before using the custom microarray.
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